

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7 GEORGE HUERTA, an individual, on  
8 behalf of himself and all others similarly  
9 situated and as a representative plaintiff,

10 Plaintiff,

11 v.

12 CSI ELECTRICAL CONTRACTORS,  
13 INC.,

14 Defendant.

15 Case No. 18-cv-06761-BLF

16 **ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY UCL  
17 CLAIM SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED  
18 PURSUANT TO SONNER**

19 The parties' Joint Statement on Remaining Claims (ECF 223) indicates that the parties  
20 agree on the claims remaining for trial, except with respect to Plaintiff's claim under California  
21 Business & Professions Code § 17200 *et seq.* ("UCL Claim"), which Defendant argues should not  
22 go forward because it merely seeks unpaid wages that are the subject of other claims.

23 A plaintiff suing under the UCL must establish the lack of adequate remedy at law before  
24 securing equitable restitution for past harm. *See Sonner v. Premier Nutrition Corp.*, 971 F.3d 834,  
25 844 (9th Cir. 2020). If the UCL Claim merely seeks unpaid wages that are the subject of other  
claims, the UCL Claim is subject to dismissal.

26 Plaintiff is ORDERED TO SHOW CAUSE, in writing and within 14 days, why the UCL  
27 Claim should not be dismissed pursuant to *Sonner*. Defendant may, but need not, file a reply to  
28 Plaintiff's show cause response within 7 days after the show cause response is filed. Both briefs  
are limited to a maximum of 3 pages.

29 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

30 Dated: May 29, 2025

  
31 BETH LABSON FREEMAN  
32 United States District Judge